

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BRILLIANT INSTRUMENTS, INC., No. C 09-5517 CW
Plaintiff, JUDGMENT

v.

GUIDETECH, INC., and RONEN SIGURA,
Defendants.

AND ALL RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS

For the reasons set forth in the Court's Order on Claim Construction, Granting Brilliant's Motion for Summary Judgment of Non-Infringement and Denying as Moot GuideTech's Motion for Summary Adjudication on the Issue of Assignor Estoppel,

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED

That summary judgment be entered in favor of Brilliant Instruments, Inc., on its claims for declarations of non-infringement concerning GuideTech, Inc.'s U.S. Patent Nos. 6,091,671 ('671 patent); 6,181,649 ('649 patent); 6,226,231 ('231 patent); 6,456,959 ('959 patent); 6,621,767 ('767 patent); 6,999,382 ('382 patent); and 7,203,610 ('610 patent);

That summary judgment be entered against GuideTech on its counterclaims for infringement of the '671, '649 and '231 patents;

That Brilliant's invalidity counterclaims be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction; and

That Brilliant's state law claims against Ronen Sigura for intentional interference with prospective economic advantage,

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 intentional interference with contractual relations and unfair
2 competition be dismissed without prejudice to refileing in state
3 court, pursuant to the parties' stipulations.

4 Brilliant shall recover costs from GuideTech.

5 Dated at Oakland, California, this 6th day of September, 2011.

6 RICHARD W. WIEKING
7 Clerk of Court

8
9 By: _____

10 Deputy Clerk
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28